



Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

JAN 29 1998

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

97/192

RECEIVED

JAN 29 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Robert F. Smith  
United States Senate  
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Senator Smith:

Thank you for your letter dated December 2, 1997, on behalf of your constituent, Timothy Thompson of Rochester, New Hampshire, and other New Hampshire municipal officials concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in their communities. Your constituent's letter refers to issues being considered in three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association for Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter and your constituent's letter, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.


The Honorable Robert F. Smith

2.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at <http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting>.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David L. Furth", with a large, sweeping flourish extending from the end of the name.

David L. Furth  
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

BOB SMITH  
NEW HAMPSHIRE  
1-800-922-2230  
IN NEW HAMPSHIRE  
opinion@smith.senate.gov

United States Senate  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2903

SELECT COMMITTEE ON  
ETHICS  
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES  
COMMITTEE ON  
ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

December 2, 1997

W1B  
97-182  
7806

Karen Kornbluh  
Director, Office of Intergovernmental Affairs  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, Room 808  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Karen:

I am writing on behalf of a number of New Hampshire municipalities that have contacted me to express concern about recent rule-making activities undertaken by the FCC.

I have enclosed a copy of one of these communications. As you can see, the concerns raised deal with the allocation of authority over zoning decisions particularly with respect to cellular and broadcast towers. I would appreciate it if you could provide me with a response to these communities' concerns that the FCC is exceeding its legal mandate and infringing on the authority of local governments.

Thank you in advance for your prompt assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,



Bob Smith U.S.S.

RCS/nls  
Enclosure


DIRKSEN BUILDING  
SUITE 307  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2903  
(202) 224-2841

1750 ELM STREET  
SUITE 100  
MANCHESTER, NH 03104  
(603) 634-5000

ONE HARBOUR PLACE  
SUITE 415  
PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801  
(603) 433-1667

3 GLEN AVENUE  
FIRST FLOOR  
BEALIN, NH 03570  
(603) 752-2800

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



## PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hall - Second Floor

31 Wakefield Street

ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03867-1917

(603) 336-1338

City Planning  
Community Development  
Economic Development  
Zoning Department

October 30, 1997

Senator Judd Gregg  
Senator Robert Smith  
Representative John Sununu

Dear Senator Gregg, Senator Smith, and Representative Sununu:


We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

**Cellular Towers - Radiation:** Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have "the exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even have to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on the other grounds, such as impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

**Cellular Towers - Moratoria:** Relatedly, the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.



## PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hall - Second Floor

31 Wakefield Street

ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03867-1917

(603) 335-1338

City Planning  
Community Development  
Economic Development  
Zoning Department

**Radio/TV Towers:** The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad. It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is *automatically deemed granted* if the municipality doesn't act in this time frame, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man -- some over 2,000 feet tall. The FCC claims that these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But *The Wall Street Journal* and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Purchgott-Roth, Michael Powell, and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tobin at the National League of Cities (202-696-3194); Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (703-506-3275); Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties (202-393-6226); Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors (202-293-7330); and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association (202-872-0611). Please feel free to call them if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Timothy J. Thompson, Staff Planner

cc: See attached list.